| lame: | Period: | Date: | |
|---------|---------|---------|--|
| idilic: | 1 C110a | _ Dutc. | |

Rubric for 8 Project Thinking Maps:

Organize and submit ALL maps in a folder. ALL maps must be properly labeled – Title, Name, Date, Period #, Frame of Reference

| CATEGORY | 1-Beginner | 2-Developing | 3-Proficient | 4-Exemplary |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Topic | The topic is too broad or narrow. | Research presents a topic that is focused, yet limited. | Research presents a topic that has wide-ranging potential. | Research presents a thoughtful, creative topic that is challenging. |
| Organization of 8 Thinking Maps | Sequence of information is difficult to follow. The sequence of most thinking maps is unclear. | Sequence of information is somewhat disorganized and not properly labeled. The sequence of the thinking maps are difficult to follow in places. | Sequence of information in most thinking maps are logical, organized, labeled, and easy to follow. | Sequence of information is logical, well ordered, properly labeled, and interesting. The sequence of each thinking map is intuitively clear and makes sense throughout the flow. |
| Conceptual Summarization | The student demonstrates lack of conceptual understanding. The student fails to explain concepts in her/his own words. | The student demonstrates a basic level of conceptual understanding. Some of the concepts are explained using original writing. | The student demonstrates conceptual understanding through the application level. Most concepts are explained using original writing. | The student demonstrates a thorough conceptual understanding through the analysis/ synthesis level using original writing. |
| Note Taking on 8 Thinking Maps | Student fails to collect notes properly. Student generates notes that do not address topic. Student fails to include worthwhile information. | Student collects some notes in his/her own words, yet some are copied. Student generates notes that mildly address topic. Student usually includes worthwhile information. | Student collects specific notes in his/her own words that address topic and include some key words and phrases. Student includes worthwhile information. Evidence of paraphrasing and summarizing. | Student creates detailed and specific notes that strongly address topic. Notes use a wide variety of key words and phrases. Evidence of in-text quotations and parenthetical reference. |
| Documenting Sources / FOR For Thinking Maps | Student fails to acknowledge sources properly. A Frame of Reference is not provided for most or all thinking maps. | In-text citation and parenthetical reference is not provided in most thinking maps or may lack correct format. Most Frame of References are unclear and vague. | Student documents most sources properly using in-text citation and parenthetical reference. A Frame of Reference is provided on all thinking maps. | In-text citation and parenthetical reference is used and there are virtually no errors with the format. Student documents a clear and thorough Frame of Reference on each thinking map. |

| Thinking Map Score: | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|----|---|-----|-----|-----|---|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | _3 | 4 | _ 5 | _ 6 | _ 7 | 8 | | |
| Overall Score: | | | | | | | | | |
| | = | | % | | | | | | |

CCSS: 12.W.7